ARTH 2071 Japanese Art History Research Paper Checklist and Rubric

Student:	Points:	(of 200 points)

	Possible	Earned	Please
	Points	Points	Improve
I. Introduction (15 points) all 3 are required.	15		
A) Identify title, artist or unknown, date, size, materials and			
style			
B) Identify period and traditions the artist followed			
C) Explain why this artwork is the focus of your paper			
II. Contextual Analysis A (45 points) all 4 are required.	45		
A) Explain why this artwork was created – religious or			
secular, for a patron or for sale, with details.			
B) Explain who used this artwork and how they			
used it.			
C) Describe the traditions that this artwork followed –			
materials, schools of painting or sculpture, folk art			
D) If the artist is unknown , give information about artists at			
that time: how they worked, their patrons, techniques and			
common subjects.			
If the artist is known , describe his/her life and facts			
about his/her training, style, common subjects,			
compositions, fellow artists, patrons and/or personal life.			
III. Contextual Analysis B (40 points) discuss 2 of the 6 areas.	40		
A) Politics: how did politics affect the art, subject matter or			
artist?			
B) Religion : how did religious beliefs affect the art, subject matter or artist?			
C) Economics: how did patronage affect the art, artist or market for art?			
D) Social organization: what organizations or social class			
structures limit or expand the artist's horizons?			
E) Artistic influences : who influenced the artists and how they create art?			
F) International influences : how expansive is the artist's			
worldview?			
IV. Formal Analysis A (40 points) choose 2 of the 4 areas.	40		
A) Identify and discuss the most important Elements of			
Design in the work and why they are important.			
Line, color, texture, shapes, space			
B) Identify and discuss the most important Principles of			
Design in the work and why they are important.			
Repetition, emphasis, balance, unity, contrast			

 You may rewrite this paper.	You MUST return your original paper with this checklist.
Due Date for rewrite	

NOTE Group #3: you may submit your paper by April 19 if you wish to have the opportunity to rewrite your paper.

Rubric: Effective writing for Research Paper Due: Feb. 23, April 5 or April 28, 2016 **

Development	Meets expected level	Meets minimal expected level	Does not meet minimal expected level
Assignment	Paper demonstrates mastery of assigned form: explanatory	Paper demonstrates partial understanding of requirements of assigned form.	Only in a few instances does the paper meet the requirements of the assigned form.
Purpose/audience	Paper stays focused on its purpose and affects intended audience	Paper has firm purpose but does not affect audience	Only affects audience in a few places
Organization	Main points are clearly and fully developed with appropriate supporting details present (may include examples, illustrations, statistics, quotes, citations, etc.)	Main points are somewhat clear and developed with limited supporting details present (may include examples, illustrations, statistics, quotes, citations, etc.)	Main points are not clear or developed; lacks supporting details There is/are required point(s) missing.
Internal logic/coherence	The order of and connection between ideas are clear to the reader; paragraphs and transitions appropriate	The order of and connection between ideas are not fully developed; paragraphs and transitions somewhat clear.	There is no clear order or connection between ideas; poor paragraph structure and transitions
Structure	Sentence structure is clear and varied in length and structure according to purpose.	Sentence structure is not varied; grammar is sometimes awkward or confusing.	Writer does not demonstrate basic grammar and sentence structure.
Clarity	Word choice reflects familiarity with the language of the discipline; word choices precise and accurate.	Writer shows some familiarity with the language of the discipline; word choices sometimes imprecise and inaccurate.	Writer shows little familiarity with the language of the discipline; word choices often imprecise or inaccurate
Technical aspects			
Mechanics	The paper is mechanically correct in spelling, punctuation and formatting	The paper shows minor errors in spelling, punctuation or formatting	Major errors in spelling or punctuation that could have been corrected with spell check;
Editing	Clear evidence of editing and proofreading.	Some problems with editing and proofreading.	Poor editing or proofreading.
Formatting	Followed all requirements	Partially followed requirements	Did not follow requirements

^{**} You are responsible for knowing your assigned date.